



## **Quality Assurance Group**

### **WP2 REVIEW OF DELIVERABLE:**

***A1 - Report on EU open science practices and transfer of knowledge and skills***

## DELIVERABLE DETAILS

Title of the deliverable:	Report on EU open science practices and transfer of knowledge and skills
Author(s) responsible for the deliverable:	Biljana Kosanović and Milica Ševkušić
WP leader:	University of Belgrade
QAG reviewer(s):	Lazar Petrovic, Snezana Smederevac, Petros Stefaneas, Marina Lenisa, Caspar Addyman, Thed van Leeuwen
Short description of the deliverable:	
<p>The main purpose of this report is to offer a brief analysis of European Open Access (OA) / Open Science (OS) policies and especially the policies of partner universities, with the aim of identifying optimal solutions for Serbian OA/OS policies at various levels. The drafting of the report was undertaken after the first study visit. However, the course of actions undertaken under WP2 had to be changed due to the fact that in July 2017, the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (MESTD) established an official working group tasked with drafting an open science platform that would apply to the outcomes of projects fully or partly funded by the MESTD. The first draft of the Open Science Platform was prepared in November 2017. The report will briefly describe the main characteristics and elements of European OA/OS policies, the lessons learned during the study visits and explain the elements of the draft of the Serbian Open Science Platform.</p>	

## QUALITY ASSURANCE DETAILS

Assurance point:	Issues to be addressed:	Assessment:	Comments:	Recommendations:
1. Compliance with the objectives of BE-OPEN	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Deliverable complies with objective of the project	N/A	N/A
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the work package	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Deliverable complies with WP objective specified in the WP description	N/A	N/A
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Deliverable corresponds with the activity description as specified in the Application Form	N/A	N/A
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format?	Deliverable is presented using the Project's deliverable format	N/A	N/A
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• External sources used</li> <li>• Bibliography</li> <li>• List of contacts</li> <li>• Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)</li> </ul>	Deliverable Adequacy of complementary information complies with minimum information required	N/A	N/A
<b>External sources and Bibliography used:</b>				
1. Commission Recommendation of 17.7.2012 on access to and preservation of scientific information C(2012) 4890 final. 2012. European Commission. Available from: <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-information_en.pdf">https://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/recommendation-access-and-preservation-scientific-information_en.pdf</a> . Accessed 14 June 2018.				
2. OSPP-REC: Open Science Policy Platform Recommendations. 2018. European Commission. Available from: <a href="https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5b05b687-907e-11e8-8bc1-01aa75ed71a1/language-en">https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/5b05b687-907e-11e8-8bc1-01aa75ed71a1/language-en</a> . Accessed 14 June 2018.				



3. Tarazona Rua, Maria, Daniel Spichtinger, Celina Ramjoue, and Jean-François Dechamp, ed. 2015. *Access to and preservation of scientific information in Europe: report on the implementation of Commission Recommendation C(2012) 4890 final*. Luxembourg: European Commission, Directorate-General for Research & Innovation. Available from: <http://bookshop.europa.eu/uri?target=EUB:NOTICE:KI0215993:EN:HTML>. Accessed 14 June 2018.
4. *A Reinforced European Research Area Partnership for Excellence and Growth: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions*. 2012. COM(2012) 392 final. Brussels: European Commission. Available from: <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/reinforced-european-research-area-partnership-excellence-and-growth>. Accessed 14 June 2018.
5. *Towards better access to scientific information: Boosting the benefits of public investments in research: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions*. 2012. COM(2012) 401 final. Brussels: European Commission. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document\\_library/pdf\\_06/era-communication-towards-better-access-to-scientific-information\\_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/era-communication-towards-better-access-to-scientific-information_en.pdf). Accessed 14 June 2018.
6. Horizon 2020 – The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation COM(2011) 808 final: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. 2011. European Commission. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52011DC0808>. Accessed 14 June 2018.
7. Commission Recommendation (EU) 2018/790 of 25 April 2018 on access to and preservation of scientific information. 2018. European Commission. Available from: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reco/2018/790/oj>. Accessed 14 June 2018.
8. The FAIR Data Principles. 2014. *FORCE11*. September 3. Available from: <https://www.force11.org/group/fairgroup/fairprinciples>. Accessed 16 July 2018.
9. European Cloud Initiative – Building a competitive data and knowledge economy in Europe COM/2016/0178 final: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. 2016. European Commission. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52016DC0178>. Accessed 16 July 2018.
10. *EOSC Declaration: European Open Science Cloud. New Research & Innovation Opportunities*. 2017. Brussels. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/eosc\\_declaration.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=none](https://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/eosc_declaration.pdf#view=fit&pagemode=none). Accessed 16 July 2018.
11. *Open Science and its role in universities: a roadmap for cultural change*. 2018. Advice Paper No. 24. League of European Research Universities. Available from: <https://www.leru.org/files/LERU-AP24-Open-Science-full-paper.pdf>. Accessed 28 July 2018.
12. Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing Horizon Europe – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, laying down its rules for participation and dissemination – COM(2018) 435 final. European Commission. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/budget-may2018-horizon-europe-regulation\\_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/budget-may2018-horizon-europe-regulation_en.pdf). Accessed 28 July 2018.
13. Draft European Open Science Agenda. 2016. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research & Innovation. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/draft\\_european\\_open\\_science\\_agenda.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/pdf/draft_european_open_science_agenda.pdf). Accessed 28 July 2018.
14. Digital single market. 2018. Text. *European Commission*. [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/priorities/digital-single-market\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/priorities/digital-single-market_en). Accessed 21 August 2018.

15. Science 2.0/Open Science. 2018. *European University Association*. <http://www.eua.be/policy-representation/research-innovation-policy/science-2-0-open-science>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
16. Recommendations from the EUA Working Group on Open Access adopted by the EUA Council on 26th of March 2008 (University of Barcelona, Spain). 2008. European University Association, Working Group on Open Access. Available from:  
[http://www.eua.be/Libraries/research/Recommendations\\_Open\\_Access\\_adopted\\_by\\_the\\_EUA\\_Council\\_on\\_26th\\_of\\_March\\_2008\\_final\\_1.pdf?sfvrsn=0](http://www.eua.be/Libraries/research/Recommendations_Open_Access_adopted_by_the_EUA_Council_on_26th_of_March_2008_final_1.pdf?sfvrsn=0). Accessed 12 August 2018.
17. EUA's Open Access checklist for universities: A practical guide on implementation. 2015. European University Association. Available from: [http://www.eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/Open\\_access\\_report\\_v3.pdf](http://www.eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/Open_access_report_v3.pdf). Accessed 12 August 2018.
18. EUA Roadmap on Open Science to research publications. 2016. European University Association. Available from: <http://eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/eua-roadmap-on-open-access-to-research-publications.pdf>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
19. Towards full Open Access in 2020: Aims and recommendations for university leaders and National Rectors' Conferences. 2017. European University Association. Available from: <http://www.eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/towards-full-open-access-in-2020-aims-and-recommendations-for-university-leaders-and-national-rectors-conferences>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
20. Swan, Alma, Yassine Gargouri, Megan Hunt, and Stevan Harnad. 2015. Open Access Policy: Numbers, Analysis, Effectiveness. *Pasteur4OA Work Package 3 report: Open Access policies*. Available from: <https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/375854/>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
21. Morais, Rita, and Lidia Borrell-Damian. 2018. *Open Access in European universities: results from the 2016/2017 EUA institutional survey*. Brussels: European University Association. Available from: <http://eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/open-access-2016-2017-eua-survey-results.pdf>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
22. Tsoukala, Victoria, and Maarja Adoojan, ed. 2018. *Access to and preservation of scientific information in Europe report on the implementation of Commission Recommendation C(2012) 4890 final*. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research & Innovation. <https://doi.org/10.2777/642887>.
23. Morais, Rita, Julian Bauer, and Lidia Borrell-Damian. 2017. *Open Access in European universities: results from the 2015/2016 EUA institutional survey*. Brussels: European University Association. Available from: <http://www.eua.be/Libraries/publications-homepage-list/oa-survey-2015-2016-results.pdf?sfvrsn=4>. Accessed 12 August 2018.
24. *Guidelines to the Rules on Open Access to Scientific Publications and Open Access to Research Data in Horizon 2020. Version 3.2*. 2018. Brussels: European Commission, Directorate – General for Research & Innovation. Available from: [http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants\\_manual/hi/oa\\_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-pilot-guide\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/oa_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-pilot-guide_en.pdf). Accessed 15 August 2018.
25. EU budget for the future: Horizon Europe. 2018. European Commission. Available from: [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/budget-may2018-research-innovation\\_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/budget-may2018-research-innovation_en.pdf). Accessed 15 August 2018.
26. *An Analysis of Open Data and Open Science Policies in Europe, v2.1*. 2018. SPARC Europe. Available from: <https://sparceurope.org/download/2285/>. Accessed 15 August 2018.





27. *The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*. 2017. Revised Edition. Berlin: ALLEA – All European Academies. Available from: <https://www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf>. Accessed 15 August 2018.
28. Gargouri, Yassine, Chawki Hajjem, Vincent Larivière, Yves Gingras, Les Carr, Tim Brody, and Stevan Harnad. 2010. Self-Selected or Mandated, Open Access Increases Citation Impact for Higher Quality Research. *PLOS ONE* 5: e13636. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013636>.
29. Vincent-Lamarre, Philippe, Jade Boivin, Yassine Gargouri, Vincent Larivière, and Stevan Harnad. 2014. Estimating Open Access Mandate Effectiveness: The MELIBEA Score. *arXiv:1410.2926 [cs]*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.2926>.
30. San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA). 2012. Available from: <https://sfedora.org/>. Accessed 15 August 2018.
31. Leiden Manifesto for Research Metrics. 2015. Available from: <http://www.leidenmanifesto.org/>. Accessed 15 August 2018.
32. Larivière, Vincent, and Cassidy R. Sugimoto. 2018. The Journal Impact Factor: A brief history, critique, and discussion of adverse effects. *arXiv*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08992>.
33. Haustein, Stefanie. 2016. Grand challenges in altmetrics: heterogeneity, data quality and dependencies. *Scientometrics* 108: 413–423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-1910-9>.
34. Hammarfelt, Björn, Sarah de Rijcke, and Alex Rushforth. 2016. Quantified academic selves: The gamification of research through social networking services. *Information Research* 21. Available from: <http://www.informationr.net/ir/21-2/SM1.html>. Accessed 15 August 2018.
35. Expert Group on Altmetrics. 2017. *Next-generation metrics : responsible metrics and evaluation for open science*. Available from: <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/b858d952-0a19-11e7-8a35-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>. Accessed 15 August 2018.
36. *Evaluation of research careers fully acknowledging Open Science practices : rewards, incentives and/or recognition for researchers practicing Open Science*. 2017. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research & Innovation. <http://doi.org/10.2777/75255>.
37. Johnson, Rob, Mattia Foschi, Andrea Chiarelli, Stephen Pinfield, and Michael Jubb. 2017. *Towards a Competitive and Sustainable OA Market in Europe – A Study of the Open Access Market and Policy Environment*. OpenAIRE, Research Consulting. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.401029>.
38. Lara Speicher, Lorenzo Armando, Margo Bargheer, Martin Paul Eve, Sven Fund, Delfim Leão, Max Mosterd, Frances Pinter, and Irakleitos Souyioultzoglou. 2018. OPERAS Open Access Business Models: White Paper. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1323707>.
39. Copyright. 2018. *open access.nl*. <http://www.openaccess.nl/en/tools/copyright>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
40. Dekker, Sander. 2014. Open Access to publications: Parliamentary document. *Government of the Netherlands*. January 21. Available from: <https://www.government.nl/documents/parliamentary-documents/2014/01/21/open-access-to-publications>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
41. Amsterdam Call for Action on Open Science. 2016. Rapport. *Government of the Netherlands*. April 4. Available from: <https://www.government.nl/documents/reports/2016/04/04/amsterdam-call-for-action-on-open-science>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
42. Council Conclusions on the transition towards an Open Science System 9526/16. 2016. Council of the European Union. Available from: <http://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-9526-2016-INIT/en/pdf>. Accessed 17 August 2018.

43. National Plan Open Science. 2017. Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, Netherlands. Available from: [https://www.openscience.nl/binaries/content/assets/subsites-evenementen/open-science/national\\_plan\\_open\\_science\\_the\\_netherlands\\_february\\_2017\\_en.pdf](https://www.openscience.nl/binaries/content/assets/subsites-evenementen/open-science/national_plan_open_science_the_netherlands_february_2017_en.pdf). Accessed 17 August 2018.
44. De Leeuwe, Just, and Elly Dijk. 2018. Open Science in the Netherlands. *OpenAIRE*. Available from: <https://www.openaire.eu/oa-in-the-netherlands-2>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
45. About LCRDM. 2018. *National Coordination Point Research Data Management*. Available from: <https://www.surf.nl/en/lcrdm/about-lcrdm>. Accessed 21 August 2018.
46. What does academia want? 2018. *open access.nl*. <http://www.openaccess.nl/en/what-does-academia-want>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
47. Open access. 2018. *UKB*. <https://www.ukb.nl/open-access>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
48. Publisher deals. 2018. *open access.nl*. Accessed 17 August 2018.
49. Organisation. 2018. *OAPEN*. <http://www.oapen.org/content/organisation>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
50. Fair Open Access. 2018. *Fair Open Access Alliance*. <https://www.faiopenaccess.org/>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
51. About. 2018. *Open Library of Humanities*. <https://www.openlibhums.org/site/about/>. Accessed 17 August 2018.
52. CWTS Leiden Ranking. 2018. *CWTS Leiden Ranking*. <http://www.leidenranking.com>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
53. Waltman, Ludo, Paul Wouters, and Nees Jan Van Eck. 2018. Ten principles for the responsible use of university rankings. *CWTS: meaningful metrics*. <https://www.cwts.nl:443/blog?article=n-r2q274>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
54. Gargiulo, Paola. 2016. OA in Italy. *OpenAIRE*. September 20. <https://www.openaire.eu/oa-italy>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
55. Comparing the alignment of University Open Access Policies in Italy. 2016. *Authorea*. [https://authorea.com/users/87802/articles/101108/show\\_article](https://authorea.com/users/87802/articles/101108/show_article). Accessed 5 December 2017.
56. Milosavljević, Branko. 2017. *Report on the current open science practice in Serbia and the registry of institutional open science repositories and related information infrastructures*. Project BE-OPEN – Boosting Engagement of Serbian Universities in Open Science). Available from: <http://www.beopen.uns.ac.rs/documents/395d19db7fede71f8ff138cf26d873d4/Report%20on%20the%20existing%20institutional%20open%20science%20repositories%20in%20Serbia.pdf>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
57. Čolović, Petar, and Dejan Pajić. 2017. *Survey on open science attitudes and experiences among Serbian scholars*. Project BE-OPEN – Boosting Engagement of Serbian Universities in Open Science). Available from: <http://www.beopen.uns.ac.rs/documents/35a4f18a51b8b1b54a9dec74afea72a3/Survey%20on%20open%20science%20awareness%20in%20Serbia.pdf>. Accessed 20 August 2018.
58. Ševkušić, Milica, Zorica Janković, and Aleksandra Kužet. 2017. *Open Access Journals in Serbia: Policies and Practices*. Belgrade: National Library of Serbia. <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.801673>.
59. New Science Europe Principles on Open Access Publisher Services. 2015. Science Europe. Available from: [https://www.scienceurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/270415\\_Open\\_Access\\_New\\_Principles.pdf](https://www.scienceurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/270415_Open_Access_New_Principles.pdf). Accessed 20 August 2018.
1. Tomić, Nevena (2013) **Biblioteke verskih zajednica i institucija / Libraries of religious communities and institutions**
2. Filić, Milorad (2013) **Srpska književnost na Kosovu i Metohiji : 1852-1941 : bibliografija knjiga i brošura / The Serbian literature in Kosovo and Metohia : 1852-1941 : bibliography of printed books and pamphlets**

3. Mitrović-Marić, Jasmina (2013) **Biblioteka, škola i mediji** / Library, school, media
4. Sabovljević, Dragana (2014) **Bibliografija Todora Manojlovića** / Todor Manojlović – Bibliography
5. Utvić, Miloš (2014) **Izgradnja referentnog korpusa savremenog srpskog jezika** / The construction of reference corpus of contemporary Serbian
6. Brzulović Stanislavljević, Tatjana S. (2015) **Arhivska građa u privatnim zbirkama Univerzitetske biblioteke "Svetozar Marković" u Beogradu: kulturološki, kulturnoistorijski i informaciono-dokumentacioni aspekt** / The archive material in private collections of the University Library "Svetozar Markovic" in Belgrade: cultural, cultural and historical and information and documentation aspect cultural, cultural and historical and information and documentation aspect"
7. Sofronijević, Adam (2015) **Nova paradigma saradnje u bibliotekama** / New paradigm of library collaboration
8. Dragosavac, Branka (2015) **Javne biblioteke u Srbiji od 1901. do 1918. godine** / Public libraries in Serbia from year 1901. to 1918
9. Ivetić, Nataša J. (2015) **Ličnost i delo Steve Čaturila: kulturno-istorijski i bibliološki aspekt** / Personality and work of Stevo Čaturilo: pertaining to the history of culture and bibliological aspects
10. Roganović, Vladimir P. (2015) **Recepcija nemačke književnosti i kulture u časopisu "Misao": (1919-1937)** / Reception of German literature and culture in the Belgrade literary magazine "Misao": (1919-1937)
11. Vasiljević, Nebojša (2015) **Automatska obrada pravnih tekstova na srpskom jeziku** / Automatic processing of legal text in Serbian language
12. Petrović, Milica L. (2014) **Uticaj interneta na promene u njuz magazinima u Srbiji** / The influence of Internet on changes in the news magazines in Serbia
13. Trivan, Jelena (2013) **Biblioteke i multikulturalnost** / Libraries and Multiculture
14. Milinković, Jelena G. (2016) **Ženska književnost u časopisu Misao (1919-1937)** / Women's literature in magazine Misao The Thought (1919-1937)
15. Dobrilović Dragović, Jelena R. (2016) **Model za izgradnju sistema kvaliteta u specijalnim bibliotekama** / Model for building quality systems in special libraries
16. Golubović, Ana V. (2016) **Bibliografije iz oblasti lingvistike u naučnoj periodici XIX i XX veka** / Linguistic bibliographies in scholarly journals of 19th and 20th century
17. Selihar, Karla K. (2016) **Srpske čitaonice u Vojvodini do 1918. godine** / Serbian reading rooms in Vojvodina until 1918
18. Ristović, Zoran Ž. (2016) **Kumulativni efekti eksploatacije višejezičnih korpusa u nastavi stranih jezika** / Cumulative effects of exploitation of multilingual corpora in second language teaching
19. Trtovac, Aleksandra S. (2016) **Deskriptori metapodataka i deskriptori sadržaja u pronalaženju informacija u digitalnim bibliotekama** / Metadata descriptors and content descriptors for information retrieval in digital libraries
20. Tasić, Radmila (2016) **Omladinska međuratna periodika: studija i bibliografija** / Youth interwar periodical: study and bibliography
21. Gostimirović, Slavica (2016) **Bibliografija časopisa "Razvitak" sa književnoistorijskom studijom** / Bibliography of the journal "Razvitak" with literary and historical study
22. Mišić, Danijela (2014) **Engleski roman za decu i mlade u prevodu na srpski jezik: studija i bibliografija (1972-2011)** / English novel for children and young people translated into Serbian language: study and bibliography (1972-2011)
23. Arbutina, Nada (2016) **Legat u biblioteci** / Legacy in a library
24. Vučenović, Tamara S. (2016) **Biblioteka kao nosilac participativnih praksi u kulturi u kontekstu informacionog društva** / Library as the bearer of the participatory practices in culture in the context of information society



25. Martinović, Milena (2016) <b>Rukopisne knjige manastira Rođenje presvete Bogorodice na Cetinju</b> / The manuscript books at the manastery of The nativity of blessed Virgin Mary in Cetinje 26. Jaćimović, Jelena B. (2016) <b>Automatsko prepoznavanje i normalizacija vremenskih izraza u nestrukturiranim novinskim i medicinskim tekstovima na srpskom jeziku</b> / Automatic recognition and normalization of temporal expressions in Serbian unstructured newspaper and medical texts 27. Idrizović, Nenad (2016) <b>Patrijaršijska biblioteka Srpske pravoslavne crkve 1706-2006</b> / The Patriarchal Library, Sremski Karlovci, The Metropolitanate of Karlovci, reading-rooms, The Serbian Orthodox Church, Serbian librarianship, Belgrade				
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	C2	N/A	N/A
Quality assurance:				
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement		Overall assessment is that deliverable is completely in line with adequate quality expectations for the Report on the existing open science legislative and incentives		
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAG reviewers:		10.11.2018.		
Deadline for submission of amended version of the Deliverable:		N/A		

## BE-OPEN TEAM

*The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*