



Quality Assurance Group

WP4 REVIEW OF DELIVERABLE:

***C3 - Training of university staff, policy makers,
journal editors, and research benefactors in use of
open science platforms***

DELIVERABLE DETAILS

Title of the deliverable:	Training Programme for Repository Managers and End-Users at the University of Belgrade
Author(s) responsible for the deliverable:	Biljana Kosanović and Milica Ševkušić
WP leader:	University of Belgrade
QAG reviewer(s):	Snezana Smederevac, Petros Stefaneas, Marina Lenisa, Caspar Addyman, Thed van Leeuwen
Short description of the deliverable:	
<p>The Repository Development Team at the University of Belgrade Computer Centre (TRAP-RCUB) has developed an interoperable and sustainable software and organizational model for institutional repositories. This service is primarily intended for the faculties and institutes of the University of Belgrade, but it is also available to other research organizations.</p>	

QUALITY ASSURANCE DETAILS

Assurance point:	Issues to be addressed:	Assessment:	Comments:	Recommendations:
1. Compliance with the objectives of BE-OPEN	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Deliverable complies with objective of the project	N/A	N/A

2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the work package	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Deliverable complies with WP objective specified in the WP description	N/A	N/A
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Deliverable corresponds with the activity description as specified in the Application Form	N/A	N/A
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format?	Deliverable is presented using the Project's deliverable format	N/A	N/A
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External sources used • Bibliography • List of contacts • Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 	Deliverable Adequacy of complementary information complies with minimum information required	N/A	N/A
External sources and Bibliography used:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manual for repository managers http://vet-erinar.vet.bg.ac.rs/ 				
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	C2	N/A	N/A
Quality assurance:				

Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement	Overall assessment is that deliverable is completely in line with adequate quality expectations for the Report on the existing open science legislative and incentives
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAG reviewers:	01.04.2020.
Deadline for submission of amended version of the Deliverable:	N/A

BE-OPEN TEAM

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.