



Quality assurance Group

WP1 REVIEW OF DELIVERABLE: P1 -

Report on the existing open science legislative and incentives

DELIVERABLE DETAILS

Title of the deliverable:	Report on the existing open science legislative and incentives
Author(s) responsible for the deliverable:	Sanja Radovanović
WP leader:	University of Novi Sad
QAG reviewer(s):	Lazar Petrovic, Snezana Smederevac, Petros Stefaneas, Marina Lenisa, Caspar Addyman, Thed van Leeuwen
Short description of the deliverable:	
<p>The aim of this task was to provide a comprehensive overview of the existing strategies, recommendations, policies, and incentives aiming at fostering OSP at Serbian universities. This report analyzes the scope, coverage, and mutual compliance of the existing institutional regulations as well as their integration within the national legislation. In these terms the report covers policies, rules, and recommendations defined by universities and research institutions, but also related government regulations, such as licensing and copyright laws, research funding guidelines, performance evaluation indicators and promotion and tenure criteria. Comprehensive analysis was required in order to understand all user profiles and task flows of the future open science information system aimed to satisfy the needs of various stakeholders (<i>e.g. students, researchers, tenure committees, funding bodies, government officials, and general public</i>). In respect to the above, the Report is divided into three parts. The first one refers to national legislation, while the second one covers internal rules of each university. Within the last part results of Serbian universities in Open Science are being incorporated, so as to get a comprehensive review on this issue.</p>	

QUALITY ASSURANCE DETAILS

Assurance point:	Issues to be addressed:	Assessment:	Comments:	Recommendations:
1. Compliance with the objectives of BE-OPEN	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Deliverable complies with objective of the project	N/A	N/A
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the work package	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Deliverable complies with WP objective specified in the WP description	N/A	N/A
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Deliverable corresponds with the activity description as specified in the Application Form	N/A	N/A
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format?	Deliverable is presented using the Project's deliverable format	N/A	N/A
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External sources used • Bibliography • List of contacts • Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 	Deliverable Adequacy of complementary information complies with minimum information required	N/A	N/A
External sources and Bibliography used:				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 Amendments published in the "Official Gazette of RS", no. 99/2014 of 09/11/2014. and entered into force on 19.9.2014. Available at: http://www.erisee.org/downloads/2012/libraries/rs/Law%20on%20Higher%20Education%20EN.pdf • 2 Official gazette of RS 119/2012 and 29/16. Available at: http://www.paragraf.rs/propisi/zakon_o_autorskom_i_srodnim_pravima.html • 3 Official Gazette RS, No. 110/2005, 50/2006, 18/2010 and 112/2015. Available at: http://www.mpn.gov.rs/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Zakon-o-NID-iz-Paragrafa-sl-gl.-112-iz-2015-preciscen-tekst.pdf • 4 Art. 69-85 • 5 Official Gazette RS, No. 106/2006, 73/2011, 101/2012, 103/2012 and 13/2014. Available at: 				

- <http://www.mpn.gov.rs/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/Pravilnik-o-standardima-i-postupku-za-spoljasnju-proveru-kvaliteta.pdf>
- 6 <http://www.mpn.gov.rs/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/Strategija-engleski-jezik.pdf>
- 7 <http://www.mpn.gov.rs/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/kategorizacija-%C4%8Dasopisa.pdf>
- 8 Official Gazette No 52/2011, 13/2016, available at: https://www.nb.rs/view_file.php?file_id=4914
- 9 <https://openaccess.mpg.de/Berlin-Declaration>
- 10 <http://www.uns.ac.rs/index.php/univerzitet/dokumenti/send/35-pravilnici-2/236-pr-vilni-blizi-ini-lni-usl-vi-z-%20izb-r-u-zv-nj-n-s-vni>
- 11 <http://www.cris.uns.ac.rs/etheses.jsf>
- 12 <http://www.uns.ac.rs/index.php/c-nauka/nauka-na-uns-c/naucni-casopisi-c>
- 13 http://bg.ac.rs/files/sr/nauka/Berlin_Declaration.pdf
- 14 <http://bg.ac.rs/files/sr/univerzitet/univ-propisi/Pravilnik-kriterijumi-sticanje-zvanja-novo.pdf>
- 15 Art. 4
- 16 <https://uvidok.rcub.bg.ac.rs/>
- 17 http://bg.ac.rs/files/en/education/UniversityOfBelgrade_Publications.pdf,
<http://bg.ac.rs/sr/univerzitet/izdavacka.php>, and <http://bg.ac.rs/files/sr/univerzitet/univ-propisi/PravilnikONastavnojLiteraturi.pdf>
- 18 <http://www.arts.bg.ac.rs/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Statut-januar-2017.pdf>
- 19 <http://147.91.235.2/rektorat/sen/download/Pravilnik%20o%20izboru%20nastavnika%20u%20zvanje.pdf>
- 20 <http://www.arts.bg.ac.rs/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Odluka-o-ustanovljavanju-digitalnog-repozitorijuma-UU.pdf>
- 21 <http://eteze.arts.bg.ac.rs/>
- 22 http://www.kg.ac.rs/Docs/statut_univerziteta_u_kragujevcu_07032017.pdf
- 23 http://www.kg.ac.rs/Docs/Pravilnik_doktorske_disertacije.pdf
- 24 http://www.kg.ac.rs/Docs/pristupanje_berlinskoj.pdf
- 25 www.ni.ac.rs/dokumenti/aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/send/118-aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/448-statut-univerziteta-u-nisu-preciscen-tekst-glasnik-un-82014
- 26 <https://www.ni.ac.rs/dokumenti/aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/send/118-aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/446-pravilnik-o-izdavackoj-delatnosti-glasnik-un-broj-32007>
- 27 <https://www.ni.ac.rs/dokumenti/aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/send/118-aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/1060-blizi-kriterijumi-za-izbor-u-zvanja-nastavnika-glasnik-univerziteta-u-nisu-broj-102015>
- 28 https://www.ni.ac.rs/dokumenti/aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/send/118-aktuelni-pravni-propisi-univerziteta-u-nisu/1059-pravilnik-o-postupku-sticanja-zvanja-i-zasnivanja-radnog-odnosa-nastavnika-univerziteta-u-nisu-glasnik-univerziteta-u-nisu-broj_102015
- 29 <https://openaccess.mpg.de/319790/Signatories>
- 30 <https://www.npao.ni.ac.rs>
- 31 http://np.ac.rs/downloads/univ_docs/statut2017.pdf
- 32 http://np.ac.rs/downloads/Dokumenta%20univerziteta/pravilnik_zvanja.pdf
- 33 Not available on web site of SUNP
- 34 Official Gazette No 52/2011, 13/2016, available at: https://www.nb.rs/view_file.php?file_id=4914

6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	C2	N/A	N/A
Quality assurance:				

Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement	Overall assessment is that deliverable is completely in line with adequate quality expectations for the Report on the existing open science legislative and incentives
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAG reviewers:	10.11.2017.
Deadline for submission of amended version of the Deliverable:	N/A

BE-OPEN TEAM

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.