



## Quality assurance Group

**WP1 REVIEW OF DELIVERABLE: P2 - *Report on the current open science practice in Serbia and the registry of institutional open science repositories and related information infrastructures***

## DELIVERABLE DETAILS

Title of the deliverable:	Report on the current open science practice in Serbia and the registry of institutional open science repositories and related information infrastructures
Author(s) responsible for the deliverable:	Branko Milosavljević
WP leader:	University of Novi Sad
QAG reviewer(s):	Lazar Petrovic, Snezana Smederevac, Petros Stefaneas, Marina Lenisa, Caspar Addyman, Thed van Leeuwen
Short description of the deliverable:	
<p>The aim of this task was to provide a comprehensive overview of the existing open science practice, technical resources and information infrastructure at Serbian universities. This analysis was required in order to understand the current practice and include it in the design of the technical guidelines and solutions that will be provided as the results of the BE-OPEN project. This Report is divided into two parts. The first one analyses the current open science practice at each Serbian university. The second one presents an integrated overview of the current state of the art of open science practice at Serbian universities.</p>	

## QUALITY ASSURANCE DETAILS

Assurance point:	Issues to be addressed:	Assessment:	Comments:	Recommendations:
1. Compliance with the objectives of BE-OPEN	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Deliverable complies with objective of the project	N/A	N/A
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the work package	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Deliverable complies with WP objective specified in the WP description	N/A	N/A
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Deliverable corresponds with the activity description as specified in the Application Form	N/A	N/A
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format?	Deliverable is presented using the Project's deliverable format	N/A	N/A
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• External sources used</li> <li>• Bibliography</li> <li>• List of contacts</li> <li>• Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)</li> </ul>	Deliverable Adequacy of complementary information complies with minimum information required	N/A	N/A

### External sources and Bibliography used:

- 1. NaRDUS Available at: <http://nardus.mpn.gov.rs/>
- 2. DoiSerbia Available at: <http://www.doiserbia.nb.rs/>
- 3. SCIndeks Available at: <http://scindeks.ceon.rs/>
- 4. CrossRef (<http://www.crossref.org>)
- 5. KNR Available at: <http://knr.uns.ac.rs/>
- 6. CRIS UNS Available at: <http://www.cris.uns.ac.rs/index.jsf>
- 7. Repository of doctoral dissertations Available at: <http://www.cris.uns.ac.rs/etheses.jsf>
- 8. Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations, <http://www.ndltd.org>
- 9. Scientific Journals Available at: <http://www.uns.ac.rs/index.php/c-nauka/nauka-na-uns-c/naucni-casopisi-c>
- 10. Institutional Repository of Institute of Food Technology Available at: <http://www.fins.uns.ac.rs/oa/>

- 11. UviDok Available at: <http://uvidok.rcub.bg.ac.rs/>
- 12. Phaidra Available at: <http://eteze.bg.ac.rs/>
- 13. Phaidra.KG Available at: <https://phaidrakg.kg.ac.rs/>
- 14. Repository of Doctoral Dissertations Available at: <http://eteze.ni.ac.rs/>
- 15. Scientific Publication Database Available at: <https://www.npao.ni.ac.rs/>
- 16. Scientific Journals Available at: <http://casopisi.junis.ni.ac.rs/>
- 17. State University of Novi Pazar (SUNP) Available at: <http://www.np.ac.rs/>; <http://www.np.ac.rs/yu/publications>

6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	C2	N/A	N/A
Quality assurance:				
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement		Overall assessment is that deliverable is completely in line with adequate quality expectations for the Report on the current open science practice in Serbia and the registry of institutional open science repositories and related information infrastructures		
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAG reviewers:		10.11.2017.		
Deadline for submission of amended version of the Deliverable:		N/A		

## BE-OPEN TEAM

*The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*